

# **Granite Hills High School**

1701 East Putnam Ave. • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7075 • Grades 9-12 Apolinar Marroquin , Principal amarroqu@portervilleschools.org

## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



## Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave. Porterville, CA 93257 (559) 793-2400 www.portervilleschools.org

#### **District Governing Board**

Hayley Buettner David DePaoli Lilian Durbin Sharon Gill Pete Lara, Jr. Felipe Martinez Tomas Velasquez

#### **District Administration**

Dr. Ken Gibbs Superintendent Nate Nelson, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent Business Services

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent Instructional Services

Andrew Bukosky, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources

## **School Description**

## **Principal's Message**

Welcome to Granite Hills High School: Home of the "Grizzlies."

The goal at Granite Hills High School is to provide a "family like" atmosphere, while providing a comprehensive educational program that will enable them to contribute with integrity and confidence. We believe all students can learn and be successful! We take pride in our strong instructional programs, pathways, and excellent extracurricular programs. It can truly be said that Granite Hills High School is dedicated to becoming one of the finest high schools in the San Joaquin Valley.

I am honored to be the Principal of Granite Hills High School. I am excited by the new challenges that face all of us as we race into the 21st Century. It is with great anticipation that we look forward to working with you, in a partnership to help students prepare for college, career and life. With your assistance, we will teach students to understand their educational potential, while emphasizing their responsibility to the Porterville community.

## **Community & School Profile**

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

Granite Hills High School, established in 1999, serves students in grades nine through twelve on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on improving literacy and raising academic expectations, with special emphasis placed on cultural awareness. Our focus on engaging and connecting students with "real world" experiences, along with community awareness, promotes a strong academic and social learning community!

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Grade 9	321				
Grade 10	381				
Grade 11	339				
Grade 12	277				
Total Enrollment	1,318				

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	0.5				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1				
Asian	1.3				
Filipino	0.2				
Hispanic or Latino	86.6				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.7				
White	8.8				
Two or More Races	0.5				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	92.7				
English Learners	20.3				
Students with Disabilities	5.2				
Foster Youth	0.6				

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Granite Hills High School	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	54	53	53			
Without Full Credential	3	5	5			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	4	5	5			
Porterville Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	+	•	585			
Without Full Credential	•	•	59			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	•	19			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Granite Hills High School 15-16 16-17 17-18							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	1				

"Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on August 25, 2016 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in August 2016, regarding textbooks in use during the 2016-17 school year.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: August 2016				
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional M	•			
Reading/Language Arts	Glencoe/McGraw Hill				
	Adopted 2000				
	Globe Book Company				
	Adopted 1999				
	MacMillan/McGraw Hill				
	Adopted 1999				
	McDougal Littell				
	Adopted 2005				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:				
Mathematics	Addison-Wesley				
	Adopted 2003				
	Brooks/Cole				
	Adopted 2001				
	Integrated Math 1, 2, 3				
	Adopted 2013, Math Vision				
	McDougal Littell				
	Adopted 2006				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%			
Science	Glencoe Adopted 2004				
	McDougal Littell Adopted 2006				
	Prentice Hall Adopted 2009				
	Thomson Learning Adopted 2002				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%			

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: August 2016					
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption					
History-Social Science	Glencoe Adopted 1999 McDougal Littell Adopted 2006 McGraw-Hill Adopted 1999 Prentice Hall Adopted 1999					
Fourier Longuage	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes   Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%					
Foreign Language Health	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%   Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%					
Visual and Performing Arts	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%					

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Granite Hills High School was originally constructed in 1999 and is currently comprised of 58 classrooms (including portables), a library/media center, three computer labs, two science labs, two staff rooms, a cafeteria, six athletic fields, two gymnasiums, an Olympic-size swimming pool, the main office, the guidance office, and the career center. Additionally, in 2002-03, construction of a 7,000-seat football/soccer stadium was completed, named for Jacob C. Rankin. In the summer of 2017 a new surface was added to "The Pyramid". The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in July 2017.

School Facility Year and month	Good Repair S in which data			
		Repair Status		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X		X	Rm 205 door needs bottom weather stripping; library & rm 404 damaged base boards around computer station; rm 406 & 408 stained ceiling tile; rm 408 door jam to kitchen needs repair & tear in rubber drop zone; rm 410 & 411 missing electrical cover; rm 412 torn carpet/broken base boards on computer station; 505A & 506 stained ceiling tiles; rm 803, 806, 807, 815, 817 stained ceilin tiles; rm 816 stained carpet from paint; cafeteria trash can partition in girls restroom is missing; rm 1011 rip in wall covering; rm 1012 & 1013 stained ceiling tiles; 1000 building boys restroom broken hand dryer/graffiti on wall/broken urinal 1000 building water fountain doesn't work; 1100 building girls restroom paint peeling on ceiling; rm 1106 damaged base boards around computer station; rm 1100 holes in west wall; rm 1109 damaged base boards around computer stations/staine ceiling tiles; rm 1113 damaged base boar and wall patch on west wall/loose door handle; rm 901 stained ceiling tiles; rm 907 broken light lens; mini gym light out/missing clock; girls locker room light out in restroom hand dryer broken; boys locker room light out in restroom hand dryer broken; main gym light out
Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation				
Electrical: Electrical	х			Gym 903 - Broken light lens. Gym 904 - Broken light lens. Main Gym- Light out Boys and Girls Locke Room- Light out
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	x			Gym Building- Main Gym - Broken drinking fountain, missing tile at fountair area. Boys Restroom 1000 Building- Broken Hand Dryer/Graffiti On Wall/Broken Ligh Switch/Broken Urnal Water Fountain 1000 building- Water Fountain Doesn't Work
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х			stadium concrete lifting/bleacher benche warping from ground shift on east side bleachers
Overall Rating	Exemplary G	iood Fai	r Poor	

## **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

	2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)							
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	State		
	15-16	16-17	15-16 16-17		15-16	16-17	
ELA	54	51	37	39	48	48	
Math	12	8	21	23	36	37	

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)						
Subject	Sch	ool	District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15 15-16		14-15	15-16
Science	22	23	37	40	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6					
9	19	18.4	37.7			

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)						
	Number of	Students	Percent of Students			
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced		
All Students	363	360	99.2	22.8		
Male	196	194	99.0	23.2		
Female	167	166	99.4	22.3		
Hispanic or Latino	311	309	99.4	22.3		
White	40	39	97.5	28.2		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	328	325	99.1	22.8		
English Learners	65	64	98.5	6.3		
Students with Disabilities	22	21	95.5	4.8		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	46	46	100.0	30.4		

Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven						
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded		
All Students	321	318	99.07	51.26		
Male	166	163	98.19	42.33		
Female	155	155	100	60.65		
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Hispanic or Latino	279	278	99.64	53.24		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White	29	27	93.1	40.74		
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	298	296	99.33	51.01		
English Learners	90	89	98.89	23.6		
Students with Disabilities	19	18	94.74	11.11		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	44	44	100	56.82		
Foster Youth						

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven					
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded	
All Students	321	319	99.38	8.46	
Male	166	164	98.8	6.1	
Female	155	155	100	10.97	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Hispanic or Latino	279	279	100	8.96	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	29	27	93.1	3.7	
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	298	296	99.33	8.45	
English Learners	90	90	100	2.22	
Students with Disabilities	19	18	94.74	0	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	44	44	100	11.36	
Foster Youth					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)**

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the Porterville Unified School District.

Parents and guardians are always welcome on campus and can support their child's learning environment by:

- 1) Monitoring school attendance and academic progress using school-wide ABI tracking system
- 2) Attend school hosted parent orientations and participate in extra-curricular activities
- 3) Stay connected and informed by visiting "The Daily Roar" (on-line newspaper), the school website or the newly developed GHHS app for Smartphones
- 4) Planning and participating in activities at home that are supportive of classroom activities
- 5) Volunteering at school
- 6) Participating in decision-making processes by attending English Learner Advisory Committee and/or School Site Council meetings.

## **Contact Information**

Parents who wish to participate in the school's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (559) 782-7075. The district's website (www.portervilleschools.org) also provides a variety of helpful resources and information for parents, students, staff, and community members.

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Granite Hills High School. Teachers and administrators supervise students on campus before and after school and during breaks, while noon-duty supervisors monitor students during the lunch break. All visitors must sign in at the principal's office and receive proper authorization to be on campus. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times. PUSD has also mandated that all district employees wear proper identification badges. For the safety of our students, all volunteers are fingerprinted and cleared through the Department of Justice. Appointments are made with the school secretary.

The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in fall 2014 by the Assistant Principal. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis. In addition to the emergency drills, all PUSD employees have participated in Active Shooter Awareness Training, provided by Porterville Police Department.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17		
Suspensions Rate	7.44	8.27	6.24		
Expulsions Rate	0.9	0.48	0.42		
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17		
Suspensions Rate	5.91	5.62	5.42		
Expulsions Rate	0.34	0.3	0.31		
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17		
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65		
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09		

## **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program						
Indicator School District						
Program Improvement Status	In PI					
First Year of Program Improvement	2006-2007					
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3					
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	16					
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	80					

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	4			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1			
Psychologist	1			
Social Worker				
Nurse	1			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist				
Resource Specialist	2			
Other				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				

Academic Counselor

 One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

350

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
				Number of Classrooms*								
	Average Class Size		ze	1-22 23-32 33+								
Subject	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
English	24	24	25	18	18	13	21	21	35	12	12	7
Mathematics	28	28	29	6	6	7	23	23	17	13	13	22
Science	26	26	29	6	6	4	25	25	28	9	9	9
Social Science	27	27	32	4	4	3	24	24	7	6	6	21

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

## **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participating in professional development that focus on improving instruction and assessment. Teacher collaboration time is utilized during Monday extended day schedule and designated Wednesday minimum days.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, instruction, and assessments. Schoolwide focus will include aligning instruction with CC shifts and meeting Progress Adviser instructional goals. PUSD is currently transitioning and fostering change in the areas of Common Core, one to one technology and Linked Learning.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,392	\$48,522			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74,604	\$75,065			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,811	\$94,688			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$151,564	\$119,876			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$153,951	\$126,749			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$164,505	\$135,830			
Superintendent Salary	\$226,527	\$232,390			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	32%	37%			
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%			

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
Laural	Ехро	Average Teacher				
Level	Total Restricted Unrestricted					
School Site	\$6,853	\$727	\$6,126	\$65,867		
District	*	•	\$6,336	\$76,860		
State	*	•	\$6,574	\$77,824		
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-3.3	-6.3		
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			30.6	-5.0		

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

## Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

\*

• Title I, Basic Grant

\*

- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency
- \* Title VII, Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)					
Granite Hills High School	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16		
Dropout Rate	5.4	4.5	2.8		
Graduation Rate	94.17	94.57	96.8		
Porterville Unified School District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16		
Dropout Rate	13.9	10.8	9.9		
Graduation Rate	84.29	86.99	86.74		
California	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16		
Dropout Rate	11.5	10.7	9.7		
Graduation Rate	80.95	82.27	83.77		

Career Technical Education Participation				
Measure	CTE Program Participation			
Number of pupils participating in CTE	459			
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	15			
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	33			

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission				
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent			
2016-17 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	99.62			
2015-16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	34.84			

Where there are student course enrollments.

2016-17 Advanced Placement Courses					
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses			
Computer Science		<b>*</b>			
English	2	•			
Fine and Performing Arts	1	•			
Foreign Language	2	•			
Mathematics		<b>◆</b>			
Science	2	•			
Social Science	2	•			
All courses	9	12.7			

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements					
<b>0</b>	Graduating Class of 2016				
Group	School	District	State		
All Students	96.44	87.65	87.11		
Black or African American	100	83.33	79.19		
American Indian or Alaska Native	100	90.91	80.17		
Asian	100	100	94.42		
Filipino	100	95.24	93.76		
Hispanic or Latino	97.26	88.27	84.58		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	50	60	86.57		
White	90.48	83.86	90.99		
Two or More Races	100	92.86	90.59		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.71	80.28	63.9		
English Learners	73.53	68.71	55.44		
Students with Disabilities	98.15	86.92	85.45		
Foster Youth	0	100	68.19		

## **Career Technical Education Programs**

This table displays information about participation in the school's Career Technical Education (CTE) programs. For more information about Career/Technical Education and/ or the District's Regional Occupation Program, please contact Cindy Brown at (559) 793-2406.

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.